L 22 33 44 55 56 77 33	STEVEN G. KALAR Federal Public Defender ELIZABETH M. FALK Assistant Federal Public Defender 19th Floor Federal Building 450 Golden Gate Avenue San Francisco, CA 94102 (415) 436-7700 Counsel for Defendant DICKMAN UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
•	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
LO L1 L2 L3 L4	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, v. STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO CONTINUE STATUS HEARING AND FOR EXCLUDING TIME UNDER 18 U.S.C. § 3161
L6	
L7	The parties in this case agree and jointly request the Court to move the change of plea
L8	hearing in the above captioned case from November 20, 2013 to December 6, 2013 at 10:00 a.m.
L9	The reason for this request is that defense counsel needs time to review the discovery materials
20	with her client prior to entering a plea agreement in this matter,
21	The parties concur that granting the exclusion would allow the reasonable time necessary for
22	effective preparation of counsel and continuity of counsel. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
23	The parties also agree that the ends of justice served by granting such an exclusion of time for the
24	purposes of effective preparation of counsel outweigh the best interests of the public and the
25	defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A).
26	Accordingly, due to the reasons stated above, the parties jointly move to continue the change
27	of plea hearing to December 6, 2013 at 10:00 a.m.
28	
	STIPULATION & [PROPOSED] ORDER EXCLUDING TIME CR 12-722 MMC

1	SO STIPULATED:
3	MELINDA HAAG United States Attorney
4 5 6 7 8 9	DATED: November 19, 2013 /s/ KYLE S. WALDINGER Assistant United States Attorney DATED: November 19, 2013 /s/ ELIZABETH FALK Attorney for Defendant Kris Dickman
11 12 13	[PROPOSED] ORDER For the reasons stated above the Court hereby CONTINUES the status conference hearing
14 15 16 17 18 19	In the aforementioned case from November 20, 2013 to December 6, 2013 at 10:00 a.m. The Court further finds that the exclusion from the time limits of this period applicable under 18 U.S.C. § 3161 is warranted and that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the pest interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. Denying the requested exclusion of time would deprive the defendant effective preparation of counsel, taking into account the exercise of due diligence. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).
21 22	IT IS SO ORDERED.
23 24	DATED: November 19, 2013 Mafine M. Chesney United States District Judge
25262728	

STIPULATION & $\ensuremath{[\mathsf{PROPOSED}]}$ ORDER EXCLUDING TIME CR 12-722 MMC